




Harvey for Litigation Work

Harvey is the leading professional services platform for attorneys who aim to deliver successful outcomes for their clients’ legal disputes. Our products shorten the path to mastery for top litigators, allowing them to tackle complex matters with speed, efficiency, and confidence.

Why Harvey?

High Quality

Fine-tuned legal models to assist litigation workflows in any language.

Simplicity

Designed with intuitive usability to support every stage of litigation.

Security

Enterprise-grade compliance and encryption, built to protect your data.

Team

Experts in AI and legal working hand in hand, backed by \$500M+ in funding from leading investors.

Accelerated Discovery and Case Strategy

Opportunity

KMSC, a full-service firm in Canada, turned to Harvey for a solution that could be applied firm-wide. KMSC’s litigation group saw immediate success with Harvey.

Solution

KMSC’s litigation team successfully integrated Harvey into their analysis and drafting processes. They found that Harvey’s simple interface and ease of use allowed their lawyers to rely on Harvey to gain quick and comprehensive insight into their matters.

Impact

KMSC attorneys now report that Harvey saves them up to 3-5 hours per week, with many power users saying that Harvey saves them up to 10 hours per week. This time savings allows partners to write off less of their associates’ time, increasing profitability, while associates gain capacity to take on more high-value matters and tasks. By minimizing repetitive work like document review and legal research, Harvey also improves associate satisfaction and retention. [Read the full story.](#)



How Harvey Supports Every Stage of Litigation

Explore some example use cases commonly performed by litigation attorneys.

harvey.ai

Case Assessment

Swiftly evaluate complaints, create chronologies, and identify gaps in factual and legal analysis.

H

*

📁

🔗

🕒

?

⚙️

E

Assistant /

Complaint Analysis and Memorandum Preparation

+ Thread

🔒 Share

Export

Query

I am an associate at a defense-side litigation firm in San Francisco, California. My client, Twitter, Inc., was just served with the attached Complaint. Please analyze this Complaint for me and prepare a four-part memorandum, which addresses...

Show more

 ▾

Memorandum: Analysis of Securities Class Action Complaint Against Twitter, Inc.

I. Summary of the Complaint

The Complaint, filed by Doris Shenwick as Trustee for the Doris Shenwick Trust, is a securities class action against Twitter, Inc., and its officers, Richard Costolo and Anthony Noto.

1

 The action alleges violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Sources

2016-09-16 Class Action Lawsuit.pdf

12345678

910111213

Discovery

Review and categorize large volumes of documents, analyze expert work and deposition transcripts, and organize discovery materials efficiently.

H

*

📁

🔗

🕒

?

⚙️

E

Vault /

Extracting Key Details from Deposition Transcripts

Export

≡ Filter

📄 Add file

🏗️ Column builder

☐	≡ Name	⌵	☰ Deponent	≡ Cha
1	📄 Corbin Potter Depo.pdf		Corbin Potter	—
2	📄 Christopher Dry Depo.pdf		Christopher Dry	—
3	📄 David Miller Depo.pdf		David Miller	Existing
4	📄 Emily Chin Depo.pdf		Emily Chin	No exp
5	📄 Joanne Pereless Depo.pdf		Joanne Pereless	—
6	📄 Nicholas Drimak Depo.pdf		Nicholas Drimak	—
7	📄 Frederick Haigh Depo.pdf		Frederick Haigh	—
8	📄 Madison Byrne Depo.pdf		Madison Byrne	—

Analysis

Conduct comprehensive analysis more quickly by using Harvey to synthesize legal principles across multiple cases.

H

*

📁

🔗

🕒

?

⚙️

E

Assistant /

Test for Premises Liability in Illinois

+ Thread

🔒 Share

Export

Query

Based on the attached Olinger and Thompson decisions, what is the test under Illinois law on whether the owner of premises breached its duty of care by failing to maintain the premises in a reasonably safe condition, when a customer slips...

Show more

 ▾

Test for Breach of Duty of Care in Illinois for Slip and Fall Cases

Under Illinois law, as illustrated by the decisions in **Olinger v. Great Atlantic and Pacific Tea Company** and **Thompson v. Economy Super Marts, Inc.**, the test for determining whether the owner of premises breached its duty of care by failing to maintain the premises in a reasonably safe condition when a customer slips on food left on the floor and suffers an injury involves several key considerations

Sources

Thompson v. Economy Super Marts.pdf

12345610

789111213

Olinger v. Great Atlantic Pacific Tea Corp.pdf

Drafting

Generate high quality first drafts, enabling more concise and persuasive pleadings, motions, legal briefs, and client memos.

H

*

📁

🔗

🕒

?

⚙️

E

Assistant /

Memorandum on Motion to Change Venue

+ Thread

🔒 Share

Export

To: [Name of requester] From: [Name of writer] Date: [Date of memorandum] Re: Motion to transfer venue in Cub Club Investment, LLC v. Apple Inc.

Summary:

This memorandum analyzes the motion to transfer venue filed by Apple Inc. ("Apple") in the copyright infringement case brought by Cub Club Investment, LLC ("Cub Club") in the Western District of Texas. 1 Apple seeks to transfer the case to the Northern District of California, where it is headquartered and where most of the relevant witnesses and evidence are located. 2 Cub Club opposes the motion, arguing that the Western District of Texas is more convenient for its witnesses and documents, and that the Northern District of California has no local interest in the case. 3 Apple replies that Cub Club's arguments are speculative and unsupported by evidence, and that the Northern District of

Revisions

Initial draft

Revise draft

Sources

Apple - Motion to Transfer ECF 21.pdf

1 2 5 9 10 12 14

Apple - Opposition Motion to Transfer ECF 26.ndf

Trial Preparation

Optimize trial strategy by analyzing opposing counsel's case and identifying ways to address gaps, such as refining legal arguments and filing additional pre-trial motions.

H

*

📁

🔗

🕒

?

⚙️

E

Assistant /

Proposed Motions in Limine Preparation

+ Thread

🔒 Share

Export

Based on the attached two documents, the following are three proposed motions in limine we should propose filing with the Court prior to trial:

Motion in limine to exclude any testimony or evidence regarding Dr. Hui's opinions on Twitter's user engagement, user growth, and revenue generation.

This motion seeks to exclude any testimony or evidence regarding Dr. Hui's opinions on Twitter's user engagement, user growth, and revenue generation, as expressed in his initial and rebuttal reports and his deposition. As argued in the motion for summary judgment and the motion to exclude expert testimony, Dr. Hui's opinions are unreliable, irrelevant, speculative, and misleading, and do not meet the standards of Federal Rule of Evidence 702. 1 Dr. Hui's opinions are based on false or unsupported assumptions, irrelevant or invented data, improper interpretation of documents, and lack of

Revisions

Initial draft

Revise draft

Sources

19-03-13 Twitter Securities Litigation - Motion for Summary Judgement

1 3 5 6 7 10 11 21

22 27 30 31

Post-Judgment and Appellate Issues

Draft post-judgment communications and analyze grounds for appeal.

H

*

📁

🔗

🕒

?

⚙️

E

Assistant /

Key Arguments for Appellate Court

+ Thread

🔒 Share

Export

Query

I am counsel for the Plaintiffs in the attached judgment by the US District Court. The Defendants, American Airlines and JetBlue Airways, have appealed this decision to the US Court of Appeals. What are the key arguments that the Plaintiffs... Show more ▾

Key Arguments for Plaintiffs/Appellees to Uphold the District Court’s Decision

I. Substantial Anticompetitive Harm

The Plaintiffs should emphasize the District Court's findings of substantial anticompetitive harm caused by the Northeast Alliance (NEA). 1 The court found that the NEA eliminated vigorous competition between American Airlines and . JetBlue, two of the

Sources

United States v. Am Airlines Grp.pdf

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

9 10 11 12 13

Harvey is the operating system for legal and professional services.

Why Harvey

- Purpose-built for legal**

Harvey is built specifically for legal work by the largest team of lawyers & engineers in legal AI.
- Deepest knowledge layer**

iManage, Aderant, InTapp, LexisNexis, Ironclad, Microsoft 365, API/MCP, and more.
- Proven at scale and enterprise-ready**

1,500+ customers, 60+ countries, 800+ law firms globally, 60+ of AmLaw 100, Fortune 100.
- Security and governance**

24/7 enterprise-grade coverage that has never failed a security review.



Up to 10 Hours

reclaimed per lawyer per week on drafting, summarization, and transcript review



80% Reduction

in M&A data room review time, flagging risks and delivering structured summaries



800+ Hours

saved using Workflow agents to auto-generate litigation responses and regulatory drafts

Agents

Conversational legal AI with multi-source querying and intelligent drafting

- Assistant
- Vault
- Review Tables
- Workflow Agents
- Long Horizon Agents

1 files created

- Due Diligence Memo.docx
- Generating...
- Generating...
- Generating...
- Generating...

Collaboration

Collaborative review, verification, and knowledge management

- Shared Spaces
- Resource Sharing

Publish

- Shared space
- Workspace

- Commercial Deal Playbook
- Playbook

Search or select client

- Acme Inc.
- Can view

Once published, everyone invited to the shared space will have access.

- Cancel
- Publish

Knowledge Platform

Legal research and institutional knowledge in one central layer

- Playbooks
- Aderant
- NetDocuments
- Case Law
- SharePoint
- iManage
- Regulatory Data
- Ironclad
- Ask LexisNexis®
- 600+ Regional Data Sources
- Firm Matters & Precedent

EDGAR

EUR-Lex

Your Firm's Data

Web Search

DMS

Ecosystem

Pre-built and custom automation pipelines for legal work

- Harvey for Word Add-in
- Claude MCP
- Harvey for Outlook Add-in
- OpenAI MCP
- CoPilot

Harvey

Highlight text to revise the selection, or place your cursor anywhere to draft new text.

"Affiliate" means any entity... 135 of 5K characters

Revise the highlighted text to align with the related content from the selected source files.

Ask Harvey

Outperform Your Competition With Harvey

Visit harvey.ai/contact-sales

